KNOWLEDGE OF BALANCING AND VIBRATION MEASUREMENT

Precautions and safeguards during \textit{in-situ} balancing

Balancing is the process by which the mass distribution of a rotor is checked and, if necessary, adjusted to ensure that the residual unbalance or the vibrations of the journals/bearing supports and/or forces at the bearings are within specified limits. Many rotors are balanced in specially designed balancing facilities prior to installation into their bearings on site. However, if remedial work is carried out locally or a balancing machine is not available, it is becoming increasingly common to balance the rotor \textit{in situ}.

\textit{In-situ} balancing is the process of balancing a rotor in its own bearings and support structure, rather than in a balancing machine.

\textit{In-situ} balancing shall only be undertaken by a skilled team, including both customer and supplier, who understand the consequences of adding trial and correction masses and have experience of operating the machine. Failure to do this can place the whole machine and staff at risk.

While undertaking \textit{in-situ} balancing, the machine will be operated under special conditions, allowing access to rotating components to add trial and final correction masses. Strict safety procedures shall be in place to ensure that the machine cannot be rotated while personnel have access to the shaft and that no temporary equipment can become entwined when the shaft is rotated.

Machines may be quickly run up and run down many times and can have unusual loading conditions during the \textit{in-situ} balancing exercise, which could be outside the normal operating envelope of a machine. It shall be established that such operations will not be detrimental to the integrity or the life of the whole machine.

However, as no general list of machine types can cover all situations, it is necessary to review individually the integrity requirements for each \textit{in-situ} balance.
Turbines (Steam and gas turbines)

Before a turbine shaft is stopped to add correction masses or establish phase signal references, it shall be confirmed that the correct procedures are undertaken to prevent bending of the shaft. This normally involves barring for a period of time to reduce the shaft temperature.

The rotor life can be related to the number of machine starts and this need to be taken into account in relation to the starts required for the in-situ balancing runs.

Electric motors

Some electric motors have restrictions on the number of starts per hour and this shall not be exceeded.

Electric motors can run from zero to full speed with no intermediate control. Trial masses shall be of a size that will not cause damage to the machine, even if placed in the wrong position.

Pumps

Some pumps need to be full of fluid for their safe operation and in-situ balancing runs will not generally be an exception.

Large fans

During the in-situ balancing runs, the flow induced by the fan shall be correctly accommodated. For example, dampers may need to be shut and this might place the fan under stall conditions.

The fans might be delivering hot or hazardous fluids and personnel shall not be allowed to enter the fan to add correction masses until conditions are safe.

Electrical generators

The considerations related to the turbines apply also to the generators.

It shall be established that the seal oil system will provide adequate lubrication of the gland seals when the generator is running in air.

For easy access to the internal in-situ balancing planes, it may be necessary to dismantle some of the internal baffling of the cooling circuit.

The effect on generator cooling and cleanness shall be taken into account when making such modifications.
When trial and correction masses are added, it shall be confirmed that they are securely attached and their mountings are capable of carrying the required loads. The correction masses shall not interfere with normal operation, such as coming into contact with stationary components due to shaft expansion. The correction masses should be fitted in accordance with the manufacturers’ instructions, if available.

Correction masses are often attached with bolts or by welding. It shall be ensured that the bolt holes or the welding process do not compromise the integrity of the rotor component to which the correction masses are attached, or the function of the component, such as cooling. Furthermore, correction masses shall be compatible with their operating environment, such as heat and chemical atmosphere.

Where possible, the total mass of the correction masses on each plane shall be minimized by consolidating those added from previous balancing exercises. However, correction masses that have been added for specific reasons (such as to balance the individual disc or counteract for blade root eccentricity errors) should not be changed.

**Conclusion**

As no general list of safety precautions can cover all machinery and all situations, it is necessary to review individually the safety requirements for each in-situ balance.
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Consideration regarding field balancing

Introduction

Balancing is the process by which the mass distribution of a rotor is checked and, if necessary, adjusted to ensure that the residual unbalance or the vibrations of the journals/bearing supports and/or forces at the bearings are within specified limits. Many rotors are balanced in specially designed balancing facilities prior to installation into their bearings on site. However, if remedial work is carried out locally or a balancing machine is not available, it is becoming increasingly common to balance the rotor in situ.

Field or In-situ balancing is the process of balancing a rotor in its own bearings and support structure, rather than in a balancing machine.

Unlike balancing in a specially designed balancing machine, in-situ balancing has the advantage that the rotor is installed in its working environment. Therefore there is no compromise with regard to the dynamic properties of its bearings and support structure, nor from the influence of other elements in the complete rotor train.

However, it has the large disadvantage of restricted access and the need to operate the whole machine. Restricted access can limit the planes at which correction masses can be added, and using the whole machine has commercial penalties of both downtime and running costs. Where gross unbalance exists, it may not be possible to balance a rotor in situ due to limited access to balance planes and the size of correction masses available.

This paper present some common sense consideration when balancing rotors installed in their own bearings on site. It addresses the conditions under which it is appropriate to undertake in-situ balancing.

It does not provide guidance on the methods used to calculate the correction masses from measured vibration data.
General consideration

For in-situ balancing, correction masses are added to the rotor at a limited number of conveniently engineered and accessible locations along the rotor. By doing this the magnitude of shaft and/or pedestal vibrations and/or unbalance is reduced to within acceptable values, so that the machine can operate safely throughout its whole operating envelope.

In certain cases, machines that are very sensitive to unbalance may not be successfully balanced over the complete operating envelope. This usually occurs when a machine is operating at a speed close to a lightly damped system mode (see ISO 10814), and has load-dependent unbalance.

Most sites have instrumentation with limited data-processing capabilities, when compared to a balancing facility, and additional measurements will be required to undertake in-situ balancing in these situations. In addition, the potential safety implications of running a rotor with correction masses shall be taken into account.

Why balance in-situ?

Although individual rotors may be correctly balanced, as appropriate, in a high- or low-speed balancing machine, in-situ balancing might be required when the rotors are coupled into the complete rotor train. This could be due to a range of differences between the real machine and the isolated environment in the balancing machine, including a difference in dynamic characteristics of the rotor supports between the balancing facility and the installed machine, assembly errors that occur during the installation of the machine in situ, rotor systems that cannot be balanced prior to assembly, and a changing unbalance state of the rotor under full functional operating conditions.

Balancing might also be required to compensate for in-service changes to the rotor, including wear, loss of components, such as rotor blade erosion shields, repair work, where components could be changed or replaced, and movement of components on the rotor train causing unbalance, such as couplings, gas turbine discs and generator end rings.

Additionally in-situ balancing might be necessary due to a range of economic and technical reasons, including when the investment in a balancing machine cannot be justified, when a suitable balancing machine is not available in the correct location or at the required time, and when it is not economic to dismantle the machine and transport the rotor(s) to a suitable balancing facility.

The reason for balancing is to reduce the vibration magnitude(s) to acceptable values for long-term operation.
For most machines, the overall vibration magnitude(s) limits shall either be based on normal practice or the appropriate part of ISO 10816 and ISO 7919 for pedestals and shafts, respectively.

Where the magnitude of unbalance is important, it is necessary to reduce the magnitude of unbalance to within permissible limits (see ISO 1940-1).

**Action before balancing**

Prior to *in-situ* balancing, a feasibility study shall be carried out to assess if the available correction planes are suitable to influence the vibration behaviour being observed, since limited access to correction planes and measurement points on the fully built-up machine can make *in-situ* balancing impractical. Where possible, experience from previous *in-situ* balancing should be used. Sometimes modal analysis may be required.

*In-situ* balancing shall only be attempted in the following circumstances:

- The reasons for the high vibrations are understood and cannot be corrected at source;
- After analysis of the vibration behaviour, it is judged that balancing is a safe and practical approach;
- Under the required normal operating conditions, the vibration vector is steady and repeatable prior to *in-situ* balancing; the addition of corrections masses only affects the once-per-revolution component of vibration and, therefore, *in-situ* balancing shall only be carried out if this is a significant component of the overall vibration magnitude.

In special circumstances, where the once-per-revolution vibration component changes during normal operation of the machine (such as thermally induced bends in generator rotors), it is possible to optimize the vibration magnitude across the operating envelope by adding correction masses. Here, the vibration magnitude at full speed no load might be compromised to obtain an acceptable vibration magnitude at full load.

Again, this shall only be attempted if the reasons for the unbalance are understood.

When systems are operating in a non-linear mode, correction masses can affect other vibration components, including both sub and high shaft speed harmonics.

The once-per-revolution component of vibration might not originate from unbalance but be generated from system forces such as those seen in hydraulic pumps and electric motors. Many defects, such as shaft alignment errors and tilting bearings, can also contribute to the once-per-revolution component of vibration.

Such effects should not normally be corrected by balancing, since balancing will be effective at only a single speed and could mask a real system fault.

The variation of the vibration vectors should be sufficiently steady such that the amplitude of the variation is not significant relative to the amplitude of the mean vibration vector.
Conclusion

Machines under normal operation and/or during speed variations (following remedial work, or after commissioning) might have unacceptable magnitudes of vibration when compared with normal practice, contractual requirements, or International Standards such as the ISO 10816 and ISO 7919 series. In many cases, it may be possible to bring the machine to within acceptable vibration magnitude by in-situ balancing.

Using Analysis menu of the CXBalancer instrument is possible to do the following tests prior to perform the in-situ balancing:

- If the overall vibration exceeds the Overall Amplitude Acceptance Limit (based on normal practice or the appropriate part of ISO 10816) or is no need to do any correction action
- If the once-per-revolution component of vibration originate from unbalance or from another fault (such as shaft alignment errors, tilting bearings, etc)
- If under the required normal operating conditions, the vibration vector (X1 RPM) is steady and repeatable
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Evaluate Balancing Quality Limits

Is a vibration reading of 5 mm/sec a proper limit for accepting balancing quality of a new forced draft fan? Is this limit also applicable on a new cooling water pump? How to find an acceptance limit for variable speed machines? The proper limit is dependent on many factors including rotor mass, speed and the application in which the machine is used. In fact, the standard limit of balancing quality acceptance is different from the limits given for accepting vibration levels due to unbalance! Understanding balancing limits should enable the manufacturer, vendor, owner and service shop to come up with a doable agreement on target balancing specifications- it is helpful for contractual and technical purposes.

This simplified article will answer prime questions about balancing quality faced in the process of accepting balancing quality of newly purchased or serviced machines.

It is based on an interpretative reading of ISO 1940/1. Illustrative figures are given to emphasize the major concepts of that standard.

Unbalance occurs in a rotating machine when the mass centreline and the geometric centre do not coincide on each other. Unbalanced rotors generate vibration which may damage their components. In order to extend the life of the machine, vibration due to unbalance must be reduced to acceptable level. Despite the ability to reduce unbalance to low levels, these levels or limits must be defined.

It would be uneconomical to exaggerate balancing quality requirements. However, if the balancing quality is underestimated, it would reduce machine reliability and availability. Moreover, sometimes demanding overqualified balancing reduces machine availability by consuming necessary time in unnecessary balancing.

Unbalance and Vibration

Unbalance amount is expressed as:

\[ U = m \times r \]

where

- \( m \) = unbalance mass (in kg)
- \( r \) = distance from unbalance mass to shaft/rotor centreline (in m)
The unbalance force generating the vibration is expressed as:

$$ F = m \times r \times \omega^2 \text{ where} $$

- $r$ – radius [m]
- $\omega$ – speed [rad/sec]
- $m$ – mass [Kg]

**Figure 1**

Unbalance Vibration = Unbalance Force/Dynamic Stiffness

There is no handy recognizable general relation between rotor unbalance and the machine vibrations. The unbalance response depends essentially on speed, the geometric proportions and mass distribution of rotor, as well as on the dynamic stiffness of the shaft, bearings and the foundation. Machine stiffness is unknown to owners in most cases. Moreover, combining all of these factors will truly result in complicated equations between the unbalance and resulting vibration. In other words, for a particular rotor, unbalance vibration will have different values depending on its operating speed, type of bearings (e.g. fluid film or rolling element), foundation etc. while the unbalance amount itself is constant and only related to the rotor. So, the balancing quality limit should not be oversimplified and given through vibration readings only. This is especially true for new machines for which no pervious vibration experience exists.

The vibration standards commonly used in industry put their criteria based on the unbalance response (vibration amplitude) irrespective of rotor and foundation stiffness. Also, the magnitude of the force generated due to unbalance and rotor mass are not addressed. In balancing, the mass, stiffness and vibration of an unbalanced rotor are related through a parameter called influence vector.
Unbalance: Existing and Limits

The term "unbalance" is referred to two quantities. First is the balancing acceptance limit of a rotor and is usually called permissible or allowable unbalance. Second is the existing or residual unbalance in a rotor. In acceptance tests, the following logic is implicitly applied:

\[ U_{\text{Limit}} > U_{\text{Existing}} \] Balancing Quality within Tolerance (Accepted)
\[ U_{\text{Limit}} < U_{\text{Existing}} \] Balancing Quality out of Tolerance (Rejected)

This paper is dedicated to highlight the determination of permissible unbalance.

Determination of residual unbalance is left for another article.

Balance Quality Determination Methods

The permissible unbalance can be determined based on:

1. History and/or experiments done on several similar machines (vibration limits can be extracted from the history)
2. Pre-specified permissible bearing forces in the stage of bearing selection
3. Standards like 1940/1 (typically followed in industry)

Balance Quality Based on Standardized Grades

The standard is valid only for rigid rotors and does not define permissible residual unbalances for flexible rotors. A rotor is considered rigid if it does not run above its first critical speed through its operation up to its maximum service speed.

This standard does not cover neither the sources of errors involved in balancing nor the balancing procedure. (This information is available in other standards.) Balancing standard provides generalized grades for which rotor application, mass, and speed can tailored for specific cases.
In general, the larger the rotor mass, the greater the permissible residual unbalance.

To relate the value of the total permissible unbalance, $U$, to the rotor mass, $M$, the term specific permissible unbalance value, $u$, is defined. It is the maximum limit of unbalance amount per unit mass of the rotor. It is analogous to the specific enthalpy or specific entropy used in thermodynamics.

Mathematically, $u = e_{\text{per}} = \frac{U}{M}$ [um X g/kg], where $u =$ permissible specific unbalance (sometimes referred to as mass eccentricity), $U =$ rotor total permissible unbalance [um X g], $M =$ rotor mass [kg].

Quality grade (the lines in the figure) relates max service speed to the permissible specific unbalance. For a specific grade, as the speed of the rotor increases (rightwards in the figure), $u$ gets tighter (downwards). This means that the unbalance amount allowed decreases as machine speed increases.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance Grade Code</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>mm/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Mass</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbalance Mass</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Permissible Unbalance</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>gr X mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Permissible Unbalance</td>
<td>(u, e_{per})</td>
<td>gr X mm/Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Unbalance</td>
<td>(U_{res})</td>
<td>gr mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Residual Unbalance</td>
<td>(u_{res})</td>
<td>gr X mm/Kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instead of using the graph to look up the specific unbalance value for a given G number and service RPM and then multiplying by rotor weight (taking care to use proper units), \(u\) and \(U\) can be calculated by using the following formulas:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= e_{per} = 9549 \times \frac{G}{S}[\text{Kg}] \text{ in } [\text{gr x mm/Kg}] \\
  U &= u \times M \text{ [Kg]} \text{ in } [\text{gr X mm}] \\
  U &= 9549 \times \frac{G \times M \text{ [kg]}}{S\text{[RPM]}} \text{ in } [\text{gr X mm}], \text{ where } S \text{ is the maximum service speed (in RPM)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2 – Balance Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drive shafts (propeller shafts) with special requirements. Parts of crushing machinery. Parts of agricultural machinery. Pump impeller. Individual components of engines (gas or diesel) for cars, trucks and locomotives. Crankshaft drives of engines with six or more cylinders under special requirements.</td>
<td>G 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinding machine drives. Small electrical armatures with special requirements.</td>
<td>G 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**General Procedure of Finding U**

The steps described below may be applied to all rotors regardless of their mass distribution and the position of the correction planes.

1. Gather machine's design and operation information (minimum: speed, mass and criticality).

2. Using Table 2, compare this information and decide the proper balance grade.

3. Specify the Grade line and the max service speed in Figure 2.

4. Impose the max service speed of the rotor and then determine the corresponding permissible specific unbalance value, \( u \).

5. Multiply \( u \) by rotor mass to obtain the total permissible unbalance, \( U \).

6. Allocate \( U \) to the balancing correction planes based on rotor configuration.

**Notes on Step 6:** This step involves a series of calculation based on the mass distribution of the rotor and the location of the correction plane. Usually, these calculations can be reduced to get an approximate result. The Total Permissible Unbalance per plane would be approximated by \( \frac{1}{2} U \) in case two correction planes would be used.

**Example:**

Find the allocated permissible unbalance of a critical induction motor rotor of the following information:

- Operation speed: 1500 rpm, Mass =150 kg, running under sever conditions, two balancing planes close to the bearings and measurement planes are available.

- Using the table, G2.5 is selected. Compensating sever load conditions for this motor, max service speed is increased to 2000 rpm. Using the formula: \( U = 9549 \times 2.5 \times \frac{150}{2000} \) result a total permissible unbalance of 1790 g X mm.

- Allocating \( U \) to the two plane with the approximate method, \( U1=U2= \frac{U}{2} = 895 \) g X mm.

**Caution:** This article does not replace the original standard by any means.

For more details, the complete title of the Standard is:

ISO 1940-1: Mechanical Vibration - Balance Quality Requirements of Rigid Rotors - Determination of Permissible Residual Unbalance.